a-BERNOULLI AND EULERIAN NUMBERS

by L. CARLITZ

1. Introduction. In a previous paper [2] the writer defined a set of rational functions η_m of the indeterminate q by means of

$$(1.1) (q\eta + 1)^m = \eta^m (m > 1), \quad \eta_0 = 1, \quad \eta_1 = 0,$$

and a set of polynomials

$$\eta_m(x) = \eta_m(x, q)$$

in q^x by

(1.2)
$$\eta_m(x) = ([x] + q^x \eta)^m, \quad \eta_m(0) = \eta_m,$$

where $[x] = (q^x - 1)/(q - 1)$; also

$$(1.3) q^x \beta_m(x) = \eta_m(x) + (q-1)\eta_{m+1}(x), \beta_m(0) = \beta_m.$$

For q=1, β_m reduces to the Bernoulli number B_m , $\beta_m(x)$ reduces to the Bernoulli polynomial $B_m(x)$; η_m however does not remain finite for m>1.

In the present paper we first define polynomials $A_{ms} = A_{ms}(q)$ by means of

$$[x]^m = \sum_{s=1}^m A_{ms} \begin{bmatrix} x+s-1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} \qquad (m \ge 1),$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q^x - 1)(q^{x-1} - 1) \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot (q^{x-m+1} - 1)}{(q - 1)(q^2 - 1) \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot (q^m - 1)} \cdot$$

Alternatively if we define the rational function $H_m = H_m(x, q)$ by means of $H_0 = 1$, $H_1 = 1/(x-q)$,

$$(1.5) (qH+1)^m = xH^m (m>1),$$

then we have

(1.6)
$$H_m(x, q) = A_m(x, q) / \prod_{i=1}^m (x - q^i),$$

where

(1.7)
$$A_m(x, q) = \sum_{s=1}^m A_{ms} x^{s-1} \qquad (m \ge 1),$$

Presented to the Society, April 25, 1953; received by the editors February 5, 1953.

and the coefficients are the same as those occurring in (1.4). For q=1, A_{ms} and $H_m(x)$ reduce to well known functions; some of the properties of these quantities are stated in §2 below. As Frobenius [3] showed, many of the properties of the Bernoulli and related numbers can be derived from properties of H_m . We shall show that much the same is true in the case of the q analogues.

In [2] a theorem somewhat analogous to the Staudt-Clausen theorem was obtained for β_m (with q an indeterminate). We now show that if p is an odd prime and we put q = a, where the rational number a is integral (mod p), then if $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$,

$$(1.8) p\beta_m \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

provided $p-1 \mid m$; otherwise β_m is integral (mod p). If $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ the situation is more complicated. In particular, if a is a primitive root (mod p^2), then β_m is integral (mod p) for $p-1 \nmid m$, while for $p-1 \mid m$ we have

$$p\beta_m \equiv -\frac{1}{k} \pmod{p}, \qquad (k = (a^{p-1} - 1)/p).$$

In general the denominator of β_m may be divisible by arbitrarily high powers of p (see Theorem 4 below).

Finally we derive some congruences of Kummer's type for H_m , etc. For example if q = a is integral (mod p) while x is an indeterminate, then

$$H^m(H^w-1)^r\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ p^m,\ p^{re})\qquad (p^{e-1}(p-1)\mid w),$$

where after expansion of the left member H^k is replaced by H_k . We also obtain simple congruences for the numbers A_{ms} defined in (1.4). The corresponding results for η_m and β_m are more complicated.

2. Eulerian numbers. To facilitate comparison we quote the following formulas from the papers of Frobenius [3] and Worpitzky [5].

$$(2.2) A_{m+1,s} = (m+2-s)A_{m,s-1} + sA_{ms},$$

(2.3)
$$A_{ms} = \sum_{r=0}^{s} (-1)^{r} {m+1 \choose r} (s-r)^{m},$$

$$(2.4) B_m = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{r=1}^m (-1)^{m-r-1} {m \choose r-1}^{-1} A_{mr}.$$

In the next place if we put

(2.5)
$$A_m = A_m(x) = \sum_{s=1}^m A_{ms} x^{s-1},$$

and let

$$H_m = H_m(x) = (x-1)^{-m}R_m(x),$$

then H_m satisfies

$$(2.6) (H+1)^m = xH^m \ (m \ge 1), H_0 = 1.$$

The connection between H_m and the Bernoulli numbers is furnished by

(2.7)
$$\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{-r} B_m \left(\frac{r}{k} \right) = \frac{k^{1-m} \zeta}{1-\zeta} m H_{m-1}(\zeta),$$

where $\zeta^k = 1$, $\zeta \neq 1$. An immediate consequence of (2.7) is

(2.8)
$$k^{m}B_{m}\left(\frac{r}{k}\right) - B_{m} = -m \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{1}{\zeta - 1} H_{m-1}(\zeta),$$

where ζ runs through the kth roots of unity distinct from 1.

We also mention

(2.9)
$$H_m = \sum_{r=0}^{m} (x-1)^{-r} \Delta^r 0^m.$$

3. Some preliminaries. We shall use the notation of [2]; see in particular §2 of that paper. In addition the following remarks will be useful. Let f(u) be a polynomial in g^u of degree $\leq m$. Then the difference equation

(3.1)
$$g(u + 1) - cg(u) = f(u)$$
 $(c \neq q^r)$

has a unique polynomial solution g(u), as can easily be proved by comparison of coefficients. To put the solution in more useful form we rewrite (3.1) as (E-c)g(u) = f(u) and recall that

$$\Delta = E - 1$$
, $\Delta^2 = (E - 1)(E - q)$, $\Delta^3 = (E - 1)(E - q)(E - q^2)$, \cdots

In the identity

$$\frac{1}{t-z} = \frac{1}{t-z_1} + \frac{z-z_1}{t-z_1} \cdot \frac{1}{t-z_2} + \frac{(z-z_1)(z-z_2)}{(t-z_1)(t-z_2)} \cdot \frac{1}{t-z_3} + \cdots + \frac{(z-z_1)\cdots(z-z_n)}{(t-z_1)\cdots(t-z_n)} \cdot \frac{1}{t-z}$$

take t = c, z = E, $z_s = q^{s-1}$, so that we get

$$\frac{1}{c-E} = \frac{1}{c-1} + \frac{\Delta}{(c-1)(c-q)} + \frac{\Delta^2}{(c-1)(c-q)(c-q^2)} + \cdots + \frac{\Delta^n}{(c-1)\cdots(c-q^{n-1})} \frac{1}{c-E}.$$

Hence if we take n > m, we obtain the following formula for g(u):

(3.2)
$$g(u) = \sum_{s=0}^{m} \frac{\Delta^{s} f(u)}{(c-1)(c-q)\cdots(c-q^{s})}.$$

4. The number A_{ms} . We suppose A_{ms} defined by means of (1.4). Using the identity

$$(q^{m+1}-1)(q^x-1)=(q^{m+1-s}-1)(q^{x+s}-1)+q^{m+1-s}(q^s-1)(q^{x+s-m-1}-1)$$

and multiplying both members of (1.4) by [x], we get

$$[x]^{m+1} = \sum_{s} A_{ms} \left\{ [m+1-s] \begin{bmatrix} x+s \\ m+1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{m+1-s} [s] \begin{bmatrix} x+s-1 \\ m+1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{s} \begin{bmatrix} x+s-1 \\ m+1 \end{bmatrix} \left\{ [m+2-s] A_{m,s-1} + q^{m+1-s} [s] A_{ms} \right\},$$

which implies the recursion

$$(4.1) A_{m+1,s} = [m+2-s]A_{m,s-1} + q^{m+1-s}[s]A_{ms}.$$

For q=1 it is evident that (4.1) reduces to (2.2). As an immediate consequence of (4.1) we infer that A_{ms} is a polynomial in q with positive integral coefficients.

It is easy to show that A_{ms} is divisible by $q^{(m-s)(m-s+1)/2}$. Indeed if we put

$$A_{ms} = q^{(m-s)(m-s+1)/2} A_{ms}^*,$$

then (4.1) becomes

$$(4.3) A_{m+1,s}^* = [m+2-s]A_{m,s-1}^* + [s]A_{ms}^*,$$

which proves the stated property. Moreover it follows easily from (4.3) that

(4.4)
$$\deg A_{ms}^* = (s-1)(m-s).$$

Indeed assuming the truth of (4.4), we get

$$\deg ([m+2-s]A_{m,s-1}^*) = (m+1-s) + (s-2)(m+1-s)$$

$$= (s-1)(m+1-s),$$

$$\deg ([s]A_{ms}^*) = (s-1) + (s-1)(m-s) = (s-1)(m+1-s),$$

so that

$$\deg A_{m+1,s}^* = (s-1)(m+1-s),$$

which proves (4.4).

[March

The symmetry properties

$$A_{m,m-s+1}^* = A_{ms}^*$$

and

$$A_{ms}^{*}(q) = q^{(s-1)(m-s)} A_{ms}^{*}(q^{-1})$$

will be proved below.

Comparing coefficients of q^{mx} on both sides of (1.4) we get

(4.7)
$$\sum_{s=1}^{m} A_{ms} = [m]! = [m][m-1] \cdot \cdot \cdot [1].$$

More generally if we expand both sides in powers of q^x and equate coefficients we get

The following table of A_{ms}^* , $1 \le s \le m \le 5$, is easily computed by means of (4.3).

	1	2	3	4	5
1 2	1 1	1			
3 4	1	2(q+1) $3q^2+5q+3$	$3q^2 + 5q + 3$	İ	
5	1		$6q^4 + 16q^3 + 22q^2 + 16q + 6$	$4q^3+9q^2+9q+4$	1

5. A formula for A_{mr}^* . It is easy to show that if f(x) is a polynomial in q^x of degree $\leq m$,

(5.1)
$$f(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{m} C_{ms} \begin{bmatrix} x+s-1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} \qquad (m \ge 1),$$

then

(5.2)
$$C_{m0} = (-1)^{m} q^{m(m+1)/2} f(0),$$

(5.3)
$$C_{m,m-r} = \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{s} {m+1 \brack s} f(r+1-s) q^{s(s-1)/2}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{s=0}^{m+1} (-1)^s q^{s(s-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ s \end{bmatrix} f(x+m+1-s) = 0,$$

we have in particular

$$\sum_{s=0}^{m+1} (-1)^s q^{s(s-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ s \end{bmatrix} f(r+1-s) = 0,$$

and (5.3) yields

(5.4)
$$C_{mr} = \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{m-s} q^{(m-s)(m+1-s)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ s \end{bmatrix} f(s-r),$$

which includes (5.2) also. Thus the coefficients in (5.1) are determined.

If we take $f(x) = [x]^m$, then $C_{mr} = A_{mr}$ and we get after a little manipulation

(5.5)
$$A_{mr}^* = q^{r(r-1)/2} \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s q^{s(s-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ s \end{bmatrix} [r-s]^m;$$

for q = 1, (5.5) reduces to (2.3).

Replacing q by q^{-1} , (5.5) becomes

$$q^{(r-1)(m-r)}A_{mr}^{*}(q^{-1}) = q^{(r-1)m+r(r-1)/2}\sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{s}q^{s(s-1)/2+s} {m+1 \brack s} [r-s]^{m},$$

where

$$e = -\frac{s(s-1) - (m+1)(m+2)}{2} + \frac{(m+1-s)(m+2-s)}{2} + \frac{s(s+1)}{2} - (r-s+1)m = -(r-1)m.$$

Hence

$$A_{mr}^*(q) = q^{(r-1)(m-r)} A_{mr}^*(q^{-1}),$$

which is identical with (4.6).

In the next place we observe that exactly as in the proof of (6.2) of [2] we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} {m \choose i} [x]^{i+1} q^{(m-i)x} \frac{\eta_{m-i}}{i+1} + (q^{(m+1)x} - 1) \frac{\eta_{m+1}}{m+1}$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^{m} A_{ms} q^{m-s+1} \begin{bmatrix} x+s-1 \\ m+1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Divide both sides of this identity by [x] and then put x=0. We find that

$$\beta_m = \frac{1}{\lceil m+1 \rceil} \sum_{s=1}^m (-1)^{m-s-1} q^{-(m-s)(m-s+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ s-1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} A_{ms}.$$

Using (4.2) and (4.5) this becomes

$$\beta_{m} = \frac{1}{[m+1]} \sum_{s=1}^{m} (-1)^{m-s-1} {m \brack s-1}^{-1} A_{ms}^{*}$$

$$= \frac{1}{[m+1]} \sum_{s=1}^{m} (-1)^{s} {m \brack s}^{-1} A_{ms}^{*},$$

the first of which may be compared with (2.4).

6. The polynomial $A_m(x)$. The polynomial $A_m(x) = A_m(x, q)$ is defined in (1.7) for $m \ge 1$; we put $A_0(x) = 1$. Put

(6.1)
$$\phi_m(x) = \prod_{s=0}^m (x - q^s)$$

and apply the Lagrange interpolation formula at the points $x = q^{s}$, $s = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Since

$$\phi'(q^s) = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} (q^s - q^i) \prod_{j=s+1}^m (q^s - q^j)$$

= $(-1)^{m-s} q^{ms-s(s-1)/2} (q-1)^m [s]! [m-s]!,$

we get using (4.8)

(6.2)
$$A_m(x) = \frac{\phi_m(x)}{(q-1)^m} \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^{m-s} {m \choose s} \frac{1}{x-q^s}.$$

As a first application of (6.2) consider

$$A_m(x^{-1}, q^{-1}) = \frac{x^{-m-1}q^{-m(m+1)/2}\phi_m(x)}{q^{-m}(q-1)^m} \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^{m-s} {m \choose s} \frac{xq^s}{x-q^s},$$

which gives

$$(6.3) x^{m-1}q^{m(m-1)/2}A_m(x^{-1}, q^{-1}) = A_m(x, q) (m \ge 1).$$

Substituting from (1.7) in (6.3) we get

$$q^{m(m-1)/2} \sum_{s=1}^{m} A_{ms}(q^{-1}) x^{m-s} = \sum_{s=1}^{m} A_{ms}(q) x^{s-1},$$

which implies

(6.4)
$$q^{m(m-1)/2}A_{ms}(q^{-1}) = A_{m,m-s+1}(q).$$

Hence by (4.2) and (4.6), (6.4) becomes

$$q^{m(m-1)/2-(m-s)(m-s+1)/2-(s-1)(m-s)}A^*_{ms}(q^{-1}) = q^{s(s-1)/2}A^*_{m,m-s+1}(q),$$

which is the same as (4.5).

7. The functions $H_m(x)$ and $H_m(u, x)$. Using (6.2) and (1.6) we get

$$(7.1) (q-1)^m H_m(x) = (x-1) \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^{m-s} {m \choose s} \frac{1}{x-q^s}.$$

We remark that (7.1) implies

(7.2)
$$H_m(x) = (x-1) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} x^{-r-1} [r]^m$$

for $|x| > |q^s|$, $0 \le s \le m$. It is also evident that

$$(1+qH)^{m} = (x-1)\sum_{r=0}^{m} {m \choose r} q^{r} (q-1)^{-r} \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-s} {r \choose s} \frac{1}{x-q^{s}}$$

$$= (x-1)\sum_{s=0}^{m} {m \choose s} \frac{1}{x-q^{s}} \sum_{r=s}^{m} (-1)^{r-s} {m-s \choose r-s} q^{r} (q-1)^{-r}$$

$$= (x-1)\sum_{s=0}^{m} {m \choose s} \frac{1}{x-q^{s}} \frac{q^{s}}{(q-1)^{s}} \left(\frac{-1}{q-1}\right)^{m-s}$$

$$= (q-1)^{-m} (x-1)\sum_{s=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-s} {m \choose s} \frac{q^{s}}{x-q^{s}},$$

which implies

$$(7.3) (1+qH)^m = xH^m (m>1).$$

We have therefore proved (1.5). Alternatively taking (7.3) as definition of H_m one can work back to the earlier formulas obtained for A_{ms} above.

For some purposes it is convenient to define $H_m(u; x) = H_m(u; x, q)$, a polynomial in q^u . We put

$$(7.4) (q-1)^m H_m(u; x) = (x-1) \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^{m-s} {m \choose s} \frac{q^{su}}{x-q^s},$$

so that $H_m(0; x) = H_m(x)$. It follows at once from (7.4) that

(7.5)
$$H_m(1-u; x^{-1}, q^{-1}) = (-q)^m H_m(u; x, q)$$

and that

$$(7.6) xH_m(u; x) - H_m(u+1; x) = (x-1)[u]^m.$$

We have also

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m} {m \choose r} q^{r} H_{r}(u; x) = H_{m}(u + 1; x),$$

which becomes, using (7.6),

$$(7.7) (1 + qH(u; x))^m = xH_m(u; x) - (x - 1)[u]^m.$$

For u = 0, (7.7) reduces to (7.3).

Clearly (7.6) implies

(7.8)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x^{k-i} [u+i]^m = x^k H_m(u;x) - H_m(u+k;x),$$

which includes (7.2) as a special case.

Since $H_m(u; x)$ is a polynomial in q^u of degree m, the remarks in §3 apply to the difference equation (7.6). In particular, application of (3.2) leads to

(7.9)
$$H_m(u; x) = \sum_{s=0}^m \frac{\Delta^s[u]^m}{\psi_s(x)} \qquad \left(\psi_s(x) = \prod_{r=1}^s (x - q^r)\right),$$

provided $x \neq q^r$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, m$. To simplify the right member of (7.9), we used (2.6) and (3.1) of [2]; thus

$$\Delta^{s}[u]^{m} = \sum_{r=s}^{m} q^{r(r-1)/2} a_{m,r}[r]_{s}[u]_{r-s} q^{s(u-r+s)}$$

and (7.9) becomes after a little manipulation

(7.10)
$$H_m(u; x) = \sum_{r=0}^m q^{r(r-1)/2} a_{m,r} \sum_{s=0}^r \frac{q^{s(u-r+s)}}{\psi_s(x)} [r]_s [u]_{r-s}.$$

If we let $G_r(u)$ denote the inner sum it is clear from (3.2) that

$$xG_r(u) - G_r(u+1) = [u]_r.$$

In (7.10) put u = 0, then

(7.11)
$$H_m(x) = \sum_{r=0}^m q^{r(r-1)/2} \frac{a_{m,r}[r]!}{\psi_r(x)},$$

which for q = 1 reduces to (2.9).

Using (7.1) and (7.4) it is easy to verify the formula

(7.12)
$$H_m(u; x) = \sum_{r=0}^m {m \choose r} q^{ru} H_r[u]^{m-r} = (q^u H + [u])^m.$$

Next using (7.12), (7.11), and the explicit formula [2, (6.2)] for $a_{m,s}$ we get

$$(7.13) H_m(u; x) = \sum_{r=0}^m \frac{1}{\psi_r(x)} \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s q^{s(s-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix} [u+r-s]^m,$$

which is useful later.

8. Connection with $\eta_m(u)$. Using the formula [2, (4.7)]

$$(q-1)^{m}\eta_{m}(u) = \sum_{s=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-s} {m \choose s} \frac{s}{[s]} q^{su},$$

we find that

$$(q^{k}-1)^{m-1}\sum_{r=0}^{k-1}\zeta^{-r}\eta_{m}\left(u+\frac{r}{k},q^{k}\right) = \sum_{s=0}^{m}(-1)^{m-s}\binom{m}{s}\frac{sq^{ksu}}{\zeta^{-1}q^{s}-1}$$

$$= m\sum_{s=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{m-1-s}\binom{m-1}{s}\frac{\zeta q^{ksu+ku-1}}{q^{s}-\zeta q^{-1}},$$

where

$$\zeta^k = 1, \qquad \zeta \neq 1.$$

Comparing with (7.4) we have therefore

$$[k]^{m-1} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{-r} \eta_m \left(u + \frac{r}{k}, q^k \right) = \frac{m \zeta q^{ku-1}}{1-\zeta} H_{m-1}(ku; \zeta q^{-1}),$$

and in particular for u=0

$$[k]^{m-1} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{-r} \eta_m \left(\frac{r}{k}, q^k \right) = \frac{m \zeta q^{-1}}{1 - \zeta} H_{m-1}(\zeta q^{-1}),$$

which may be compared with (2.7).

Next using the multiplication formula (see [2, (4.12)]; note that a term is missing in that formula)

$$[k]^{m-1} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \eta_m \left(u + \frac{r}{k}, q^k \right) = \eta_m (ku, q) + (-1)^m \frac{k - [k]}{(q-1)^m}$$

together with (8.1) we get

$$(8.4) k[k]^{m-1}\eta_m\left(u+\frac{r}{k}, q^k\right) - \eta_m(ku, q) - (-1)^m \frac{k-[k]}{(q-1)^m}$$

$$= \frac{m}{q} \sum_{k=1}^{q} \frac{\zeta^{r+1}}{1-\zeta} H_{m-1}(ku; \zeta q^{-1}),$$

and in particular for u=0,

$$(8.5) k[k]^{m-1}\eta_m\left(\frac{r}{k}, q^k\right) - \eta_m - (-1)^m \frac{k - \lfloor k\rfloor}{(q-1)^m}$$

$$= \frac{m}{q} \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{\zeta^{r+1}}{1 - \zeta} H_{m-1}(\zeta q^{-1}).$$

By means of (1.3) it is easy to write down formulas like (8.1), \cdots , (8.5) involving β_m .

9. Multiplication formulas. For the polynomial $H_m(u; x)$ we have, using (7.4),

$$(q^{k}-1)^{m} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{-r} q^{rt} H_{m} \left(u + \frac{r}{k}; \zeta q^{-kt}, q^{k} \right)$$

$$= (\zeta q^{-kt}-1) \sum_{s=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-s} {m \choose s} \frac{q^{ksu}}{\zeta q^{-kt}-q^{ks}} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{-r} q^{r(s+t)}$$

$$= (\zeta - q^{kt}) \sum_{s=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-s} {m \choose s} \frac{q^{ksu}}{\zeta - q^{k(s+t)}} \frac{1 - \zeta^{-k} q^{k(s+t)}}{1 - \zeta^{-1} q^{s+t}}.$$

Consequently if $\zeta^{k-1}=1$, $\zeta\neq 1$, we get

$$(9.1) [k]^{m} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \zeta^{-r} q^{rt} H_{m} \left(u + \frac{r}{k}; \zeta q^{-kt}, q^{k} \right) = \frac{\zeta - q^{kt}}{\zeta - q^{t}} H_{m}(ku; \zeta q^{-t}, q),$$

analogous to (8.3).

In the special case $x = -q^{-1}$, the polynomial $\epsilon_m(u)$ of [2, §8] satisfies

$$\epsilon_m(u) = H_m(u; -q^{-1}, q);$$

in this case (9.1) becomes $(\zeta = -1, t = 1)$

$$[k]^{m} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (-q)^{r} \epsilon_{m} \left(u + \frac{r}{k}, q^{k} \right) = \frac{q^{k} + 1}{q+1} \epsilon_{m}(ku, q)$$

for k odd; note that (8.6) of [2] requires a slight correction.

10. Staudt-Clausen theorems for β_m . In [2] a theorem analogous to the Staudt-Clausen theorem was proved for β_m with q indeterminate. Now on the other hand we replace q by a rational number a which is assumed to be integral modulo a fixed prime p. We shall use the representation [2, (6.2)]

(10.1)
$$\beta_m = \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^s a_{m,s}[s]!/[s+1],$$

where

(10.2)
$$a_{m,s} = \frac{q^{-s(s-1)/2}}{s!} \sum_{r=0}^{s} (-1)^r q^{r(r-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ r \end{bmatrix} [s-r]^m;$$

the quantity $a_{m,s}$ is a polynomial in q and has occurred in (7.10) and (7.11) above.

Suppose first that $a \equiv 1 \pmod p$. Then from (10.1) or [2, §7] it is clear that the sth term in the right member of (10.1) is of the form $u_s = N_s(a)/F_{s+1}(a)$, where $F_{s+1}(x)$ is the cyclotomic polynomial and $N_s(x)$ is a polynomial with integral coefficients. If we recall that $F_k(1) = p$ when $k = p^s$, $e \ge 1$, but $F_k(1) = 1$ otherwise, it is clear that u_s is integral (mod p) except possibly when $s+1=p^s$; the same holds also for $F_k(a)$. Now let $s+1=p^s$. Then by a simple computation it is seen that [s]! is divisible by exactly p^s , where

$$f = (p^e - 1)/(p - 1) - e$$

while the denominator is divisible by exactly p^e . Since $(p^e-1)/(p-1) \ge 2e$ for $e \ge 2$, $p \ge 3$, it follows that u_e is integral in this case. If e=1, $p \ge 3$, we have first $p(a-1)/(a^p-1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. As for the numerator of u_{p-1} , it follows readily from (10.2) and

$$\begin{bmatrix} p-1 \\ r \end{bmatrix} \equiv \binom{p-1}{r} \pmod{p}$$

that

$$[p-1]!a_{m,p-1} \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} (-1)^r \binom{p-1}{r} r^m$$

$$\equiv \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} r^m \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{p} & (p-1 \mid m), \\ 0 \pmod{p} & (p-1 \mid m). \end{cases}$$

We have therefore proved

THEOREM 1. Let $p \ge 3$, $q = a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then

(10.3)
$$p\beta_m \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{p} & (p-1|m), \\ 0 \pmod{p} & (p-1|m). \end{cases}$$

For p=2, the preceding argument shows that all terms in (10.1) are integral (mod 2) except perhaps u_1 and u_3 . Now

$$u_1 = \frac{a_{m,1}}{[2]} = \frac{1}{a+1},$$

while

$$u_3 = \frac{[3]! a_{m,3}}{[4]} = \frac{a^{-8}}{(a+1)(a^2+1)} \sum_{r=0}^{3} (-1)^r a^{r(r-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ r \end{bmatrix} [3-r]^m.$$

Let $2^{e} \mid (a+1), 2^{e+1} \nmid (a+1)$; then $(a^{2}+a+1)^{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{e+1}}$ and

$$\sum_{r=0}^{3} (-1)^{r} a^{r(r-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ r \end{bmatrix} [3-r]^{m}$$

$$\equiv (a^{2} + a + 1)^{m} - (a^{2} + a + 1)(a+1)^{m} + a(a^{2} + a + 1)$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{2^{e+1}} & (m \text{ even}), \\ a + 1 \pmod{2^{e+1}} & (m \text{ odd}). \end{cases}$$

Consequently u_3 is integral (mod 2) for m even while for m odd $2u_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. This yields the following supplement to Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Let p=2, $q=a\equiv 1 \pmod{2}$; also let $2^{e} \mid (a+1), 2^{e+1} \nmid (a+1)$.

Then if e=1 we have $2\beta_m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ for m even ≥ 2 , $2\beta_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, while β_m is integral (mod 2) for m odd ≥ 3 . If e>1 then

$$(10.4) 2^{\mathfrak{e}}\beta_m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

for all $m \ge 1$.

In particular it is evident from (10.4) that the denominator of β_m may be divisible by arbitrarily high powers of 2.

In the next place we suppose $q=a\not\equiv 1\pmod{p}$, p>2. It is now convenient to use [2, (5.3)]

(10.5)
$$(q-1)^m \beta_m = \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^{m-s} {m \choose s} \frac{s+1}{[s+1]} .$$

We shall assume first that a is a primitive root (mod p^2). Clearly in the right member of (10.5) we need consider only those terms in which p-1|s+1. Put $a^{p-1}=1+kp$, $p\nmid k$. Then

$$a^{(p-1)r} = 1 + rkp + {r \choose 2} k^2 p^2 + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{a^{(p-1)r} - 1}{rp} = k + \frac{1}{2} (r-1) k^2 p + \cdots \equiv k \pmod{p}.$$

Thus (10.5) implies

$$(10.6) (a-1)^m p \beta_m \equiv (-1)^m \frac{1}{k} \sum_{r>0} {m \choose r(p-1)-1} \pmod{p}.$$

But it is known [4, p. 255] that

$$\sum_{0 < r(p-1) \le m} {m \choose r(p-1)-1} \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{p} & (p-1 \mid m), \\ 0 \pmod{p} & (p-1 \mid m). \end{cases}$$

Hence (10.6) implies that p is integral when $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$. This proves

THEOREM 3. Let $p \ge 3$, q = a, a primitive root (mod p^2); then β_m is integral (mod p) for $p-1 \nmid m$, while

(10.7)
$$p\beta_m \equiv -\frac{1}{k} \pmod{p} \qquad (p-1 \mid m),$$

where $k = (a^{p-1} - 1)/p$.

It is now clear how to handle the general situation. We may state

THEOREM 4. Let $p \ge 3$, q = a, where a belongs to the exponent $e \pmod{p}$, e > 1. Put

$$a^e = 1 + p^l k \qquad (p \mid k).$$

Then

(10.9)
$$(a-1)^m p^l \beta_m \equiv \frac{e}{k} \sum_{r>0} (-1)^{m-re} \binom{m}{re-1} \pmod{p}.$$

In particular if e = p - 1, then

(10.10)
$$(a-1)^{m}p^{l}\beta \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{p} & (p-1 \nmid m), \\ -\frac{1}{k} \pmod{p} & (p-1 \mid m). \end{cases}$$

To prove (10.9) it is only necessary to observe that (10.8) implies

$$p^{l}\frac{re}{a^{re}-1}=p^{l}\frac{re}{(1+p^{l}k)^{r}-1}\equiv\frac{e}{k}\ (\mathrm{mod}\ p).$$

It is clear from (10.10) that the denominator of β_m may be divisible by arbitrarily high powers of p. We also remark that theorems like Theorems 3 and 4 can be framed for η_m .

When $p^{\bullet}|a$, it is evident from (10.5) that

(10.11)
$$\beta_m \equiv \sum_{s=0}^m (-1)^s \binom{m}{s} (s+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s} \qquad (m>1).$$

11. Congruences. The formula (7.11) together with (10.2) makes it possible to derive certain congruences satisfied by $H_m(x, q)$. We observe, to begin with, that if q=a is integral (mod p) then $u_m=[s]!a_{m,s}$ satisfies, for s fixed and $(p-1)p^{s-1}|w$,

(11.1)
$$u^{m}(u^{w}-1)^{r} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m}, p^{re}},$$

where after expansion of the left member, u^n is replaced by u_n . To prove (11.1) we need only remark that

$$u^{m}(u^{w}-1)^{r}=a^{-s(s-1)/2}\sum_{r=0}^{s}(-1)^{r}a^{r(r-1)/2}\begin{bmatrix} s\\r \end{bmatrix}[s-r]^{m}([s-r]^{w}-1)^{r}.$$

If we look on x in (7.11) as an indeterminate and apply (11.1), we can assert that

(11.2)
$$H^{m}(H^{w}-1)^{r} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m}, p^{re}}.$$

We interpret this congruence in the following manner. The left member of (11.2) is a rational function of x such that the coefficient of each term in the numerator $\equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$, p^r). We may call (11.2) Kummer's congruence for H_m . Using (7.13) we can prove like results for $H_m(u; x)$, where u is now an integer.

In view of (1.6) the result (11.2) can be restated in terms of $A_m(x)$:

$$(11.3) \qquad \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-s} \binom{r}{s} A_{m+sw}(x) \prod_{i=m+sw+1}^{m+rw} (x-a^{i}) \equiv 0 \pmod {p^{m}}, \ p^{re}.$$

We may state

THEOREM 5. Let q = a be integral (mod p), x an indeterminate, and $r \ge 1$; then (11.3) holds.

In the next place (11.3) implies congruences for the $A_{m,s}$ of (1.7). (For the case q=1, compare [1].) Since

$$\prod_{i=m+sw+1}^{m+rw} (x-a^i) = \prod_{i=0}^{(r-s)w} (-1)^{(r-s)w-i} a^{i(i+1)/2+i(m+sw)} \begin{bmatrix} (r-s)w \\ i \end{bmatrix} x^i,$$

examination of the coefficient of x^{k-1} in (11.3) implies

$$(11.4) \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{r-s} {r \choose s} \sum_{i} (-1)^{(r-s)w-i} A_{m+sw,k-i} a^{i(i+1)/2+i(m+sw)} {r-s/w \choose i}$$

$$\equiv 0 \pmod {p^m, p^{re}}.$$

In order to obtain simpler results we consider some special values of the parameters. In the first place we take r = 1, so that (11.4) becomes

$$(11.5) A_{m+w,k} - \sum_{i} (-1)^{w-i} A_{m,k-i} a^{i(i+1)/2+im} \begin{bmatrix} w \\ i \end{bmatrix} \equiv 0 \text{ (mod } p^m, p^s).$$

Now suppose first that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. It is necessary to examine

We assume from now on that p>2. If we put $a=1+p^{t}h$, $p\nmid h$, then as in the proof of Theorem 4 we find that

$$\begin{bmatrix} w \\ i \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\begin{pmatrix} w \\ i \end{pmatrix}$

are divisible by exactly the same power of p. But if $i < p^i$, it is clear from the identity

$$\binom{w}{i} = \frac{w}{i} \binom{w-1}{i-1}$$

that

$$\binom{w}{i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{e-j}} \qquad (j \le e).$$

Consequently if $p^{i-1} \le k < p^j$ and j < e, we see that (11.5) implies

$$(11.7) A_{m+w,k} \equiv A_{mk} \pmod{p^m, p^{e-j}}.$$

This proves

THEOREM 6. Let $p \ge 3$, $q = a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, $p^{e-1}(p-1) \mid w$, and $p^{i-1} \le k < p^i$, where j < e. Then (11.7) holds.

When $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ let a belong to the exponent $t \pmod{p}$. Then it is clear from (11.6) that we need only consider those factors in the right member with exponents divisible by t. Thus if i_0 is the greatest integer $\leq i/t$ we need only examine

$$\begin{bmatrix} w/t \\ i_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with a replaced by a^t . The preceding discussion therefore applies and we obtain the following theorem which includes Theorem 6.

THEOREM 7. Let $p \ge 3$, let q = a belong to the exponent $t \pmod{p}$, $p^{e-1}(p-1) \mid w$ and $k < tp^{j}$, where j < e. Then (11.7) holds.

The case k = w is not covered by the theorem. We find for example that if w = t = p - 1 (so that a is a primitive root (mod p)), then

$$A_{m+p-1,k} \equiv \begin{cases} A_{m,k} & (\text{mod } p) & (k < p-1), \\ A_{m,p-1} + \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) A_{m,0} & (\text{mod } p) & (k = p-1), \end{cases}$$

where (a/p) is Legendre's symbol.

Returning to (11.2) we can also consider the case in which x is put equal to an integer (mod p), provided the resulting denominators are not divisible by p. Now the least common denominator is evidently

$$\psi_{m+rw}(x) = \prod_{s=1}^{m+rw} (x - a^s).$$

It will therefore suffice to assume that $x \not\equiv a^s \pmod{p}$ for any s. We may therefore state

THEOREM 8. Let a and x be rational numbers that are integral (mod p) and suppose that $x \not\equiv a^s \pmod{p}$ for any s. Let

$$p^{s-1}(p-1) \mid w \text{ and } r \geq 1.$$

Then

(11.8)
$$H^{m}(x)(H^{m}(x)-1)^{r} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m}, p^{re}}.$$

In particular the theorem may be applied with slight changes to $\epsilon_m(u) = \epsilon_m(u, a)$ defined in (9.2); we have explicitly [2, (8.18)]

$$\epsilon_{m}(u) = \sum_{s=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{s}a^{s}}{(a+1)(a^{2}+1)\cdots(a^{s+1}+1)} \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{s} (-1)^{r}a^{r(r-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} s \\ r \end{bmatrix} [u+s-r]^{m},$$

which is included in (7.13). If u is an integer we have

$$\epsilon^m(u)(\epsilon^w(u)-1)^r\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ p^m,\ p^{re})$$

provided $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and a is a quadratic residue \pmod{p} . For in this case -1 is a nonresidue \pmod{p} and therefore $-1 \not\equiv a^*$ for any s.

12. Congruences involving η_m and β_m . Let

(12.1)
$$\omega_m = \omega_{m,k,r} = \frac{1}{m} \left\{ k \left[k \right]^{m-1} \eta_m \left(\frac{r}{k}, q^k \right) - \eta_m - (-1)^m \frac{k - \left[k \right]}{(q-1)^m} \right\}$$

so that by (8.5)

(12.2)
$$\omega_m = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\xi \neq 1} \frac{\zeta^{r+1}}{1 - \zeta} H_{m-1}(\zeta q^{-1}),$$

where ζ runs through the kth roots of unity distinct from 1. As for the denominators in the right member of (12.2), note that

$$\prod_{\zeta \neq 1} (a^s - \zeta) = \frac{a^{ks} - 1}{a^s - 1} = a^{s(k-1)} + \cdots + a^s + 1,$$

which is prime to p for all s provided $p \nmid k$. We may therefore state

THEOREM 9. If a is integral (mod p) and $p \nmid k$, then

(12.3)
$$\omega^m(\omega^w-1)^r\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ p^{m-1},\ p^{re}),$$

where ω_m is defined by (12.1) and $p^{e-1}(p-1)|w$.

As for β_m we have

(12.4)
$$k[k]^{m-1}q^{r}\beta_{m}\left(\frac{r}{k}, q^{k}\right) - \beta_{m}$$

$$= \frac{(m+1)(q-1)}{q} \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{\zeta^{r+1}}{1-\zeta} H_{m}(\zeta q^{-1}) + \frac{m}{q} \sum_{\zeta \neq 1} \frac{\zeta^{r+1}}{1-\zeta} H_{m-1}(\zeta q^{-1})$$

analogous to (8.5). In much the same way as above (12.4) implies

THEOREM 10. If a is integral (mod p) and $p \nmid k$ then

(12.5)
$$\Omega^{m}(\Omega^{w}-1)^{r}\equiv 0 \; (\text{mod } p^{m-1}, p^{(r-1)s}),$$

where Ω_m stands for the left member of (12.4) and $p^{e-1}(p-1) \mid w$.

Unfortunately we seem unable to obtain simpler congruences for β_m and η_m .

13. Combinatorial interpretation of a_{msr} . Put

$$A_{ms}^* = \sum_{r=0}^{(s-1)(m-s)} a_{msr_0} q^r \qquad (r_0 = s(s-1)/2 + r).$$

The following combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients a_{msr} was kindly suggested by J. Riordan. The number a_{msr} is the number of permutations of m things requiring s readings and such that $r = r_2 + 2r_3 + \cdots + (s-1)r_s$, where r_k is the number of elements read on the kth reading. The following numerical illustration for m = 4 was also supplied by Riordan.

Permutation	Reading	s	r	
1234	1234	1	0	
1243				
1423	123 4	2	1	
4123				
1324				
1342		1		
3124	12 34	2	2	
3142	·			
3412				
2134				
2314	1 234	2	3	
2341	,			
1432				
4132	12 3 4	3	3	
4312				
2134				
2413				
2431	1 23 4	3	4	
4213	, ,			
4231				
3214	1 2 34			
3241		3	5	
3421				
4321	1 2 3 4	4	6	

L. CARLITZ

References

- 1. L. Carlitz and J. Riordan, Congruences for the Eulerian numbers, Duke Math. J. vol. 20 (1953) pp. 339-344.
- 2. L. Carlitz, q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials, Duke Math. J. vol. 15 (1948) pp. 987-1000.
- 3. G. Frobenius, Über die Bernoullischen Zahlen und die Eulerschen Polynome, Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Sitzungsber. (1910) pp. 809-847.
- 4. N. Nielsen, Sur le théorème de v. Staudt et de Th. Clausen relatif aux nombres de Bernoulli, Annali di Matematica (3) vol. 22 (1914) pp. 249-261.
- 5. J. Worpitzky, Studient über die Bernoullischen und Eulerschen Zahlen, J. Reine Angew. Math. vol. 94 (1883) pp. 202-232.

DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, N. C.